. No. ID/YMN/155/82/51399.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Dularey and the management of the M/s Kalra Metal Industries/Works Jesico Colony, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Paridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Dularey was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/160/82/51405.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan Lal and the management of M/s Bhardwaj Metal Industries, Jesico Colony, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (!) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/253/82/51411.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Patru Chohan and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector-124, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Patru Chohan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/252/82/51418.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Shiv Sagar and the management of M/s. Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162 Sector-24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Harvana hereby refers to to the Industrial Tribunal, Harvana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shlv Sagar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?